

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/643,759	08/18/2003	Michael K. Barnoski	1125/206	3922		
26588 7:	590 10/23/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
LIU & LIU 444 S. FLOWER STREET SUITE 1750			NASRI, JAVAID H			
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	-,		2839			

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

This action is FINAL.   2b  This action is non-final.   3ct   This action is possible to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Javaid Naari   Javaid   Javaid Naari   Javaid Naari   Javaid Naari   Javaid Naari   Javaid Naari   Javaid	Office Action Summary		10/643	,759	BARNOSKI ET AL	BARNOSKI ET AL.			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address ¬ Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extentions for many be exhibited under the provisions of 37 CRT 1130(b) into event, nower, may a reply be simely filed  If NO period for reply is appelled above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Pathle to represent period period for reply is appelled above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Pathle to represent the period of the second period for reply is appelled above. The maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Pathle to represent the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Pathle to represent the maximum statutory are such as period will apply and the spire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Pathle spire should be such as the spire of			Examir	ner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensible of this remain to be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1-30(a). In or event, however, may a remy be timuly filled.  - Extensible of the remy be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1-30(a). In or event, however, may a remy be timuly filled.  - If No period for regly is specified above, the maximum shallower priod will apply and will expire (x) (MONTHS from the mailing asse of this communication or regive size the set or extended period for regly will, by a fault, cause the application to become AbANDONED (39 U.S. C. § 133). Set 133 or expired patent term registrement. See 37 CFR 1-704(b).  - Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 28 August 2006.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is fill and the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 96-104 and 106-145 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 95-102,114.117-129 and 135 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5□ □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  5□ □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  - Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The eath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Note the attached Office-Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * C) □ None of:  1 □ □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Applicati					1 =				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of binar may be waited used the procious of 37 GF1 13/50, in no event, hower, may a reply be timely filled after 50 Kg) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 37 GF1 13/50, in no event, hower, may a reply be timely filled after 50 Kg) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failus to reprove which this act or animalized of the communication of the process of the			ication appears on	the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	dress			
1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 August 2006.  2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 98-104 and 106-145 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 99-102,114,117-129 and 135 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s)	WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum street o reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months as	IAILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNI event, however, may a d will expire SIX (6) MOI application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) This action is FINAL.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s) 96-104 and 106-145 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 99-102,114,117-129 and 135 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5   Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6   Claim(s) 96-98.103.104.106-113.115.116.130-134 and 136-145 is/are rejected. 7   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10   The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b   objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office-Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of: 1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e) 1)   Notice of Prafsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-880) 5   Notice of Informal Patent Application	Status								
2a) This action is FINAL.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s) 96-104 and 106-145 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 99-102,114,117-129 and 135 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5   Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6   Claim(s) 96-98.103.104.106-113.115.116.130-134 and 136-145 is/are rejected. 7   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10   The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b   objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office-Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of: 1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e) 1)   Notice of Prafsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-880) 5   Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>28 August 20</u>	<u>06</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 96-104 and 106-145 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 99-102,114,117-129 and 135 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 96-98,103,104,106-113,115,116,130-134 and 136-145 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filled on 18 August 2003 is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office-Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Altachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	· ·								
A) Claim(s) 96-104 and 106-145 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 99-102,114,117-129 and 135 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s)	3)	, <del></del>							
4) Claim(s) 96-104 and 106-145 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 99-102,114,117-129 and 135 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 96-98,103,104,106-113,115,116,130-134 and 136-145 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2003 is/are: a) cocpted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office-Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Information Discolours Estement(s) (PTO/S808) 5) Notice of Information Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Shotice of Information Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Shotice Information Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Shotice Information Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5)									
4a) Of the above claim(s) 99-102,114,117-129 and 135 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 96-98,103,104,106-113,115,116,130-134 and 136-145 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) □ is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) □ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office-Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  □ Notice of Obrattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Indianal Patent Application	Disposition of Claims								
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 18	4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 96-104 and 106-145 is/are pending in the application.							
Solid Claim(s) 96-98,103,104,106-113,115,116,130-134 and 136-145 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office-Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or accepted to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office-Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)∐								
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Braftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  9) Notice of Informal Patent Application	6)⊠	<u></u>							
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office-Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Notice of Informal Patent Application	7)								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colonic form the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informati Patent Application	8)□	•							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office-Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Applicati	on Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office-Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office-Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 August 20</u>	<u>)03</u> is/are: a)⊠ ac	cepted or b) ☐ o	bjected to by the Examiner	r.			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office-Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Braftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)									
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application									
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority under 35 II S C & 449								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachmen	t(s)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	PTO-948)	5) D Notice of	Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/643,759 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 137 is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - a) In claim 137, first and second ferrule halves are already for the first ferrule.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 96-98, 104, 106-113, 115, 116, 130-132, 134, 136-141 and 143-145 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grabbe (6,695,488) in view of Lessar et al (5,902,326, cited in previous office action).

Grabbe discloses, for claim 96, an optical fiber, a ferrule (10) supporting one end of the optical fiber, said ferrule having a body comprising a first female half (12) and a second ferrule (14) made of metal (see claim 7) defining at least a bore for supporting an optical fiber; and a sleeve (32) sized and shaped to receive the ferrule and to couple to the connection device, so as to align the ferrule and the optical fiber supported by the ferrule relative to the connection device, for claim 97, the body of the ferrule has a cross-section that is generally uniform for an entire length

Art Unit: 2839

of the body, for claim 98, the body of the ferrule has a cross-section that is generally rectangular, for claim 104, the first ferrule half and the second ferrule half are initially brought together and maintained in a mating relationship by the sleeve, for claim 106, the first ferrule half has a structure that is substantially similar to that of the second ferrule half, each provided with at least a groove, which together define the bore for supporting the optical fiber, for claims 107-111, the first ferrule half and the second ferrule half support the optical fiber with the end of the optical fiber flush against an end face of the ferrule, for claims 115, matching grooves, for claim 116, first ferrule half and the second ferrule half are connected at an edge, for claim 130, the first ferrule half and the second ferrule half each has a hollow body structure facing each other, for claim 131, the sleeve is made of metal, for claim 132, the sleeve has a cross-section that is characterized by a loop, for claim 134, the sleeve has a cross- section that is generally uniform, for claim 136, a first ferrule (10) having a metal body (see claim 7) supporting the first optical fiber, wherein the metal body of the first ferrule is characterized by a structure comprising a first ferrule half (12) and a second ferrule half (14); a second ferrule (complimentary mating component, not shown, see col. 1, lines 35-38) having a metal body supporting the second optical fiber; a common sleeve (32) sized and shaped to receive the first ferrule and the second ferrule, so as to align the first ferrule relative to the second ferrule, and the first optical fiber relative to the second optical fiber, for claim 137, at least one of the first and second ferrules comprises first and second half ferrules that together define a bore sized and shaped to receive respective one of the first and second optical fibers, for claim 138, the common sleeve has a first end receiving the first ferrule, and a second end receiving the second ferrule, for claim 139, a first component (10) configured to support a first optical fiber, comprising a first body defining a Art Unit: 2839

first bore for supporting the first optical fiber, wherein the first body is characterized by a first structure comprising a first ferrule half (12) and a second ferrule half (14); a second component (complimentary mating component, not shown, see col. 1, lines 35-38) configured to support a second optical fiber, comprising a second body defining a second bore for supporting the second optical fiber, wherein the second body is characterized by a second structure; and a third component (32) configured to axially align the first component and the second component, so that the first optical fiber is aligned with the second optical fiber, wherein the third component is characterized by a third structure, for claim 140, a first ferrule half (12) and a second ferrule half (14) to form a ferrule (10) defining at least a bore for supporting an optical fiber; and forming a sleeve (32) sized and shaped to slidably receive the ferrule and to couple to the connection device, to align the ferrule and the optical fiber that is supported by the ferrule relative to the connection device, for claim 141, the sleeve is made of metal, for claim 143, a ferrule (10) having a body defining at least a bore for supporting an optical fiber, the body characterized by a metal structure (see claim 7) comprising a first ferrule half (12) and a second ferrule half (14); an optical fiber supported by the body; and a sleeve (32) coupled to the ferrule, and sized and shaped to connect to the connection device, so as to align the ferrule and the optical fiber supported by the body relative to the connection device, for claim 144, a first component (10) comprising a first body defining at least a bore supporting a first optical fiber, wherein the first body is characterized by a metal structure (see claim 7) comprising a first ferrule half (12) and a second ferrule half (14); and a second component (complimentary mating component, not shown, see col. 1, lines 35-38) comprising a second body supporting a second optical fiber, wherein the first body and the second body are axially aligned end-to-end, so that the first optical Application/Control Number: 10/643,759 Page 5

Art Unit: 2839

fiber is axially aligned with the second optical fiber, for claim 145, the first component further comprising a third body (32) sized and shaped to receive the second body.

However, Grabbe does not disclose,

- a) The body (ferrule) is formed by a stamping process. Lessar et al discloses ferrule is formed by a stamping process (see claim 22), therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention for Grabbe to have the ferrule being formed by a stamping process in view of Lessar et al for economical purpose.
- b) For claims 112 and 113, the two ends are attached by welding or adhesive.

  Official notice is taken that to attach two ends by welding or adhesive is well known in the art, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention for Grabbe to have two ends attached by welding or adhesive to have permanent attachment.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claim 142 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Grabbe.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,759 Page 6

Art Unit: 2839

for claim 142, a ferrule (10) having a body made of metal (see claim 7), wherein the body comprises a first ferrule half (12) and second ferrule half (14) defining a bore for supporting an optical fiber; and a sleeve (32) sized and shaped to receive the ferrule and to couple to the connection device, so as to align the ferrule and the optical fiber supported by the ferrule relative to the connection device,

6. Claim 133 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grabbe in view of Lessar et al as applied to claims 96, 131 and 132 above, and further in view of Gilliland et al (6,283,644).

Grabbe in view of Lessar et al discloses all the limitations of claims 96, 131 and 132, as shown above,

However, Grabbe in view of Lessar et al does not disclose:

- a) For claim 133, a sleeve with a split along an axial direction. Gilliland et al discloses a sleeve with a split along an axial direction, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention for Grabbe in view of Lessar et al to have a sleeve with a split along an axial direction in view of Gilliland et al for better fit.
- 7. Claim 103 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grabbe in view of Lessar et al as applied to claim 96 above, and further in view of Karlovich (5,037,328, cited in previous office action)

Grabbe in view of Lessar et al discloses all the limitations of claim 96, as shown above,

However, Grabbe in view of Lessar et al does not disclose:

Application/Control Number: 10/643,759

for better fit.

Art Unit: 2839

a) For claim 103, the ferrule includes at least one of a groove and a protrusion on its external surface and the sleeve includes at least one of a complementary protrusion or groove. Karlovich discloses the ferrule includes at least one of a groove and a protrusion on its external surface and the sleeve includes at least one of a complementary protrusion or groove (see marked figure 1, attached), therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention for Grabbe in view of Lessar et al to have the ferrule includes at least one of a groove and a protrusion on its external surface and the sleeve includes at least one of a complementary protrusion or groove in view of Karlovich

Page 7

#### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Contact

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javaid Nasri whose telephone number is 571 272 2095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

Art Unit: 2839

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tulsidas C. Patel can be reached on 571-272 2800 ext 39. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Javaid Nasri Primary Examiner Art Unit 2839

JN

October 17, 2006

U.S. Patent

Aug. 6, 1991

Sheet 1 of 8

5,037,328

